Performance evaluation of SCTP protocol for Smart Grid environment
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Abstract— Smart Grid Communication network will be a network of networks and with the obvious evolution and acceleration, it is crucial for its success to rely on a solid transmission protocol among its peripherals due to its real time streaming. The SCTP protocol, which was initially designed for transporting signaling messages over IP networks, is now an established in many modern applications. The main purpose of designing the Stream Control Protocol (SCTP) was to offer a robust transfer of traffic between the hosts over the networks. For this reason SCTP multi-homing feature was designed, in which an SCTP sender can access destination host with multiple IP addresses in the same session. In this paper we propose a new approach to employ the Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) in a smart grid communication, by using the two very significant characteristics offered by SCTP multi-homing and multi-streaming respectively. The simulation results show the comparison between two protocols of the transport layer, TCP and SCTP in terms of the throughput and delay. As we obtain results, we argue that smart grid operators should rely on SCTP as a feasible transmission protocol instead of TCP. All the simulation works have been conducted in NS2 network simulator.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The traditional electrical grid system is a centralized and unidirectional system as the power flows out to the consumer from a power generation and distribution station [5]. The “smart grid” can be defined as an intelligent electric grid that shares information between utilities and customer. The current power grid is evolving toward a “smart grid” concept with the power generation and distribution is not limited to large power stations. With the advent of renewable energy technologies such as solar energy and wind, the smart grid will be a distributed system with power flowing among central power stations, renewable energy centers, small distributed energy generation centers, electric vehicles, smart homes and smart buildings.

Future smart grids will be composed of a mesh of networked Microgrids collaborating to deliver electricity to consumers. Microgrids, in their turn, will be composed of different collaborating distributed energy resources. Smart grids are also composed of smart information and communication technologies sub-system that enable it to disseminate necessary information in a timely manner to be able to process it and take proper control and management actions. Energy Management System is a component of smart grid that collect sensor information, runs the optimization and control algorithms to run a microgrid in an efficient and intelligent way.

Customers will play a big role in smart grid and they will interact with utility to manage peak power consumption through demand response protocol. This will benefit the utility in avoiding building costly energy generation. It will also benefit the customer as they will be able to benefit from low cost as well as the ability to make money through feed in tariff. This interaction will require two-way electricity and information communication among customers and utility through the Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI). Utilities will be able to communicate with the consumers, monitor and possibly control their power consumption [3]. These communications could be achieved through several layers with reference to the OSI reference model. The OSI model has seven layers namely, application, presentation, session, transport, network, data link and physical layers. In this paper, our focus is on the transport protocols which are part of the transport layer. Transport layer provides two types of service to an application. They are connection oriented and connection-less service. Connection oriented service provides reliable, full duplex connection ensuring end-to-end error detection and correction. While a connectionless service provides higher speeds as they do not provide flow control and error correction. The requirements for the transport protocols for Smart Grid are identified as: Secure, Reliable, High, Availability, Real Time and Scalable. Few of the important transport protocols that find its place in Smart Grid are listed below.
Transmission Control Protocol [TCP]
Stream Control Transmission Protocol [SCTP]
User Datagram Protocol [UDP]
Wireless Datagram Protocol [WDP]
Split TCP
Cyclic UDP [CUDP]
Wireless Profiled TCP [WP-TCP]

We propose that smart grid operators utilize SCTP as the principle transport protocol for their smart grid communications, by using the two very significant characteristics offered by SCTP multi-homing and multi-streaming respectively [4].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 Smart grid conceptual model are presented. Section 3 presents Communication system for smart grid. Section 4 we proposed smart grid communication using SCTP and brief overviews. Section 5 and Section 6 we presented smart grid communication using multi-homing and multi-streaming SCTP respectively. Results and performance analysis, and comparisons are presented in section 7. Finally, conclusions and future work are drawn in Section 8.

II. SMART GRID CONCEPTUEL REFERENCE MODEL

The conceptual model described by NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) supports the planning and organization of the interconnected networks that forms the Smart Grid. For this reason, NIST adopted the approach of dividing the Smart Grid as a High-Level framework that defines seven important domains. Each domain contains actors and applications. Actors include devices, systems or programs; for instance smart meters, solar generators, and control systems. Applications are the tasks performed by one or more actors within a domain, for instance home automation, solar energy generation and energy management etc.

The conceptual model is also described for the regulators to accomplish public policy goals with the business objectives. The main idea is to modernize the nation’s electric power infrastructure and establishing a clean energy economy. Regulations, adopted by the FERC at the federal level and state level, govern many aspects of the Smart Grid. [5-35].

Naturally, the actors in a particular domain can interact with the actors in the other domains. The concepts for the Figure 1 are defined as the following:

Operations: Actors involved in the smooth operation of the power system.

Bulk generation: The generators of electricity in bulk quantities. May also store energy for later distribution.
Transmission: The carriers of bulk electricity over long distances. May also store and generate electricity.
Distribution: The distributors of electricity to and from customers. May also store and generate electricity.

Figure 1. Players in Smart Grid [23]
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Customer: The end users of electricity. May also generate, store, and manage the use of energy. Traditionally, three customer types are discussed, each with its own domain.

Markets: The markets are where grid assets are bought and sold. Actors in the Markets domain exchange price and balance supply and demand within the power system.

III. COMMUNICATION SYSTEM FOR SMART GRID

Communication system is responsible of making data and information shared and available to all interacting entities. These entities can be machines such as AMI, substation, central data ware house, etc. or it could be human visualizing information in a terminal or a smart phone. This section discusses the communication system part of the smart grid.

From an architectural perspective, smart grid structure encompasses three high level layers. These levels are broken down as follows [6]

• Physical power and Control layer: It is responsible for the core functions such as generation, transmission and distribution of power.
• Communications layer: Functions as a bidirectional interface between utilities, consumers, grid components, and operators.
• Application layer: A provision of several applications as to consumers or control systems like Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI), Demand Response, Distributed Generation and Storage, Smart Charging of PHEVs and V2G Business and Customer Care, etc.

Figure 4 shows the overall architecture of SG, which can be decomposed into a power system layer and communications layer. The power system layer, is an integration of various electrical power generation systems, power transmission and distribution grids, substations, microgrids, customers, and control centers. Given the heterogeneity of the system, different technologies will be utilized in smart grid Communications layer [1]:
End user point holds customers premises that connect their devices with the home network of commercial power. In this part, Home HAN, Building BAN, or Industrial IAN Area Network exists in order to implement a group of devices that are equipped with smart grid components.

Advanced Smart Infrastructure AMI demarcation starts with Neighborhood Area Network NAN/Field Area Network FAN points that connect meters to customers premises. AMI NAN/FAN from other side connects to the Core or WAN.

Substation LANs are grouped in WAN where core/metro and backhaul demarcation are located. This point connects several devices like SCADA [7-8-9] (refers to Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) inside a substation.

LAN Network of AMI enterprises where control centre and data storage and analysis are located.

There are several important issues in communication network design, such as:

- Which communication technologies should be used to establish links between devices?
- Which network topologies are applicable in the context of electric grid infrastructures, and how communication technologies and grid geography affect the topology of the network?
- Which networking and transport protocols are the most appropriate for meeting the requirements of smart grid communications?

A. Major Challenges for Communication Systems in a Smart Grid

Going from physical layer to application layer, communication systems will include the transmission media, the protocols to enable robust communication among entities and through heterogeneous environments [5]. Such system presents several challenges:

1. Development of interoperable protocols that hide the heterogeneity of the communication infrastructure while fulfilling the requirement imposed by the upper later systems in terms of delay and throughput. One of the key developments is the addition of the middleware layer and enables information filtering and processing as well as hiding the heterogeneity of the system.

2. Identify the appropriate and efficient communication technologies different part of the energy infrastructure part.

3. Cyber security for different part of the network [5].

IV. PROPOSED SMART GRID COMMUNICATIONS USING SCTP

A. SCTP: A brief overview

The Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) was defined by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) signaling Transport working group (SIGTRAN) 2000 [10]. According to the OSI seven-layer model, SVTP, TCP, and UDP are in the transport layer. SCTP provides a lot of new functionalities with respect to TCP and UDP. These new functionalities are critical for signaling in telephony application, and may be also useful for other kinds of applications. Among the peculiar features of SCTP there are the following: Multi Streaming, (figure 4) data transmitted over SCTP can be partitioned into multiple streams. The delivery sequence of these streams is managed independently. Therefore, Packets loss in one stream does not affect the remaining streams. When this feature of SCTP is used the in-sequence delivery of the transmitted data can be ensured only within each stream, not within the whole association. SCTP supports multi-homing, which is a feature that when one endpoint of a connection possesses multiple network interfaces (which is designated by multiple IP address), the endpoint is able to react to a network failure (either due to the interface malfunction or the communication path through that interface is no longer eligible), and switch to a secondary path using another network interface to continue the communication as shown in Figure 3. [26-27-28]

Figure 3 A basic SCTP Multi-homing feature

Figure 4 Multiple SCTP streams within a single association

V. SCTP MULTI-HOMING FOR SMART GRID COMMUNICATIONS

A general view of the system’s network topology focuses on the Smart Energy home-area network communicating data back and forth to the consumer. In order to accomplish this two-way communication, certain access points and gateways are needed to relay statistical data between the end points. The Figure 5 depicts the general view of the proposed system.
The home-area network relays consumption data between the energy providers via an advanced metering infrastructure. Consumer data is transported over the wan network (internet) to a web server via a gateway appliance, which represents a router which has multiple links connected to the other party. Each link has a public IP which is recommended in order to have different broadband connections access from other internet providers; it is advisable for a location to have different sources of internet access due to the possible issues that may arise with broadband access.

On the other hand we argue that by utilizing SCTP as the most likely transport protocol to function in smart grid communication, it can be possible to address the weaknesses that exist when using TCP. Since SCTP has such impressive features, one of which is Multi-homing, For example, if we consider Cable Internet in a complex of residences or office is disconnected from an internet providers side we will guarantee (at least temporarily) that another connection is available for smart grid service continuing since an independent source of the two internet accesses is provided.

In our evaluation we depended on the instantaneous throughput of each packet leaving the gateway to the utility centre. Data exchange between appliances or devices and the other side of smart grid communication (utility centre) is conducted instantly even among indoor appliances. However, we consider only the activity of data exchange in-between the two end points. Throughput is a very common concept in networks, it is the actual received data that was sent at a specific time whether the data delivered is transferred in a wireless or wired medium. In the Results subsection, we will discuss in detail how our measurements were obtained for both throughput and delay for smart grid communications.

VI. SCTP MULTI-STREAMING FOR SMART GRID COMMUNICATIONS

Multi-streaming is a feature in which SCTP derived its unique name and is described in more detail in Figure 6. We conducted some measurements of the Multi-streaming feature offered by SCTP. On the other hand, we also did some measurements of TCP which uses a stream of bytes in order to fragment data transmitting along to other peers. The TCP problem is the nature of the bytes stream that is sent unbroken into several streams; thus this is vulnerable to misleading bytes management. Actually the two TCP problems share creating the very well known TCP problem HoL blocking; the delayed packets waiting for other packets to be resembled will affect other unrelated ones. Thus, TCP is a byte-based stream protocol whom we were investigating against SCTP as a message-based, multi-streaming protocol. Due to the large predicted number of appliances and devices equipped with meters, smart grid communications will suffer utilizing TCP as its unique transport protocol. We will cover the delay effects resulted by HoL blocking against the one in SCTP and why it is wise to utilize SCTP as a prospective transmission (transport) protocol for smart grid communications.

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DESCUTIONS

In our simulation, we use ns-2, network simulator 2 (Version 2.35) [11] [30]. It is de most popular network simulator used by researchers. Ns-2 is an open source discrete event simulator used by the research community for in networking. This simulator provides support for simulation of TCP, routing a multicast protocols over wired and wireless (local and satellite) networks. [12-13-14]. In this work, we calculated data sent from the gateway along to the utility server of smart grid since we measured only an end-to-end (gateway to server) throughput during a handover to another link that takes place for both TCP and SCTP. Our multi homed scenario of smart grid communications simulation assumes that there are two independent broadband connections which connect a user location to the other party of the smart grid network. One is a Cable Internet access that represents a primary link with an upload of 1Mgps whereas the other one is a cellular 3G as a secondary link with an upload of 2Mgps; we used the default upload data rate of Cable Internet access, whereas for the 3G upload we assumed using 2Mb as an average of the uplink speed mentioned in 3GPP [15].
The simulation were conducted for one and three nodes scenario in order to test the effect of smart grid communications with variation in number of devices equipped with meters. The figure 7 and Figure 8 shows the two networks topology used for the simulation, illustrates a NAM (Network AniMator) screenshot of Multi homed architecture with one node and three nodes simulation respectively. All results are obtained from implementation of SCTP multi-homing and multi-streaming in the network simulator, and AWK scripts are used to extract required data from the results of simulations. The graphs are drawn in XGRAPH version 12.1.

We scheduled 10 seconds as a simulation time and we have considered following performance metrics to conclude the effect of concurrent traffic, delay end to end (E2E) and throughput (total number of successful transmitted bits to the destination), in Figure 9, when increasing the number of nodes to, we have noticed a considerable decrease of throughput due to the pressure applied on communications once a switchover with more traffic than the previous used for only a singular node. We can observe from the graph the effect of having many nodes on the throughput of SCTP that will impact on smart grid communications performance.

In figure 10 we compared the SCTP protocol with TCP in the case of three nodes and a switching from the primary link to the secondary one took place once the simulation time reached the second 4 for both of the transport protocols TCP and SCTP respectively. We observed the TCP throughput was degraded severely once the switchover was done in the time specified, against part the SCTP throughput remains stable and we can also observe that all SCTP and TCP traffic were almost similar until the handover to the secondary link (cellular connection) started receiving the traffic which shows a change in TCP behaviour to an unwanted degree although in this simulation the handover carries the traffic to a wider upload bandwidth. This note implies the concept that SCTP can manipulate several paths among peered points with better throughput. Figure 11 shows clearly the throughput difference in wireless and wired environment.
In evaluation of delay we measured only an end-to-end (gateway gateway of HAN network to server) for both SCTP and TCP in an assumed single home smart grid communication environment. The delay calculation is assumed via measuring the instantaneous delay of every packet leaving the sender and targeting the receiver. As well, we did the overall delay calculation as a cumulative delay of all instantaneous ones up to the simulation end. The SCTP Multi-streaming feature was enabled whereas in TCP we have only one option to measure the delay which is via utilizing the single byte stream method. In figure 12 we can notice the delay difference between SCTP and TCP in terms of performance optimization, in this figure we find that the delay SCTP reached 0.27 seconds and then was recovered to its normal delay time then we calculated the TCP delay for the same end to end topology. In the second 3.7 (as SCTP trial was indicated) the delay gets raised to a value that was over the SCTP trial. This value is 0.4 seconds, which was 0.33 second more than SCTP side, this is demonstrates the delay effect that is reflected in a single homed end-to-end network of smart grid communications that operates using TCP as its transport protocol.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented an introduction to smart grid and smart grid communication network. An overview of SCTP multi-homing and multi-streaming characteristics is also outlined. The main work presented is to propose a mechanism of enhancing smart grid communications performance by using SCTP protocol instead of TCP.

The results presented are built on two major measurements which are delay and throughput for both SCTP and TCP of smart grid communications and show a moderate benefit from using SCTP due to superiority evidence of SCTP over TCP.
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